

PERFORMANCE OF GEOTHERMAL ENERGY PILES UNDER THERMO-
AXIAL LOADS

ADRIANA ERICA AMALUDIN

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the
requirement for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Civil - Geotechnics)

Faculty of Civil Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

FEBRUARY 2015

For

My Father, Amaludin Sagi and My Mother, Latifah Yacob

&

My Brothers, Hassanel Zachary Amaludin and Nazrein Adrian Amaludin

For their love, support, encouragement and prayers

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Alhamdulillah, praise to Allah the Almighty, the Most Beneficent and the Most Merciful. I would also like to express my deepest and sincerest gratitude to my supervisor, Prof. Dr. Aminaton Marto who was always there to impart valuable advice, constructive comments and to give useful guidance during the research and writing process for this thesis.

I am thankful to the Ministry of Education and Universiti Malaysia Sabah for being the benefactor of my Masters studies. Furthermore, I would like to thank the Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation, Malaysia for providing the ScienceFund (No.: 03-01-06-SF1185) for the project entitled “Shallow Geothermal Energy Pile Bearing Capacity in Clay”. Also, I am indebted to Universiti Teknologi Malaysia for providing the facilities required to perform the experiments involved in this study.

I would also like to extend my appreciation to my fellow researchers in the Soft Soil Research Group (SSERG) of Civil Engineering Faculty, especially to Dr. Nima Latifi, Mr. Mohsen Oghabi, and Mr. Tan Choy Soon. Additionally, I would like to thank Mr. Zulkifly and Mr. Hadiyat of the UTM Geotechnical Engineering Laboratory, who provided assistance during the preparation of the laboratory model tests.

Last but not least, I would like to express my gratitude to my parents and two brothers who were always there for emotional support and for their prayers.

ABSTRACT

The geothermal energy pile system is a sustainable geostructure system designed to meet the cooling demands of a building by storing excess heat from the building into the soil, acting as a heat sink. Thermal loads stored in the soil will cause thermally induced settlement, and this factor must be considered in the geotechnical design process. This study aims to develop a preliminary geothermal energy pile laboratory testing system in single gravity condition, to produce the load-settlement curves generated by thermo-axial load tests. The model energy pile behaviour was studied using a physical model consist of a soil container (450 mm height and 270 mm diameter), model pile (15 mm diameter and 150 mm embedded length), and an axial and thermal load control system provided by a pneumatic cylinder and a temperature bath, respectively. Axial loads (100 N and 200 N), thermal loads (35°C and 40°C) and combinations of both loads (thermo-axial loads) were applied to the model pile. The axial load values were chosen based on the ultimate capacity of the model pile in soft soil (about 0.5 and 0.25 q_u). The kaolin soil used during model testing was classified as silt of intermediate plasticity (MI). The model soil was compacted at 80% and 90% maximum dry density, which were classified as having soft and firm consistency. The model pile behaviour was monitored with a linear variable displacement transducer, load cell and wire thermocouple connected to a data logger to monitor the pile head settlement, applied axial load and pile temperature. The pile response to thermo-axial loads appears to be thermo-elastic and is attributed to soil consistency and magnitude of thermal load applied to the pile. Thermal loads induced small settlement values, whereby the highest value was about 1% of the pile diameter (0.15 mm). In general, firm soils produce lower thermally induced settlement, due to higher restraint at the pile head compared to soft soils. For 35°C thermal loads, the resulting settlement did not exceed the limiting settlement, which is defined as 10% of the model pile diameter (1.5 mm). The highest thermo-axial settlement obtained was 1.66 mm for thermo-axial load of 40°C and 200 N (global factor of safety (FOS) of 1.9) in soft soil, and the settlement at 100 N axial load (global FOS of 3.8) amounts to 1.59 mm. Consequently, in firm soil and for thermo-axial load of 40°C and 200 N (global FOS of 2.3), the thermo-axial settlement is 1.54 mm. To ensure that the thermo-axial settlement does not exceed the limiting settlement, the recommended global FOS used for soft soil and firm soil should be more than 4.0 and 2.5, respectively. A laboratory scale model of an energy pile system, specifically for cohesive soils in single gravity conditions has been developed. This laboratory model is able to produce reliable thermo-axial load-settlement curves in varying soil consistencies, initial axial loads and also varying thermal loads.

ABSTRAK

Sistem cerucuk tenaga geoterma adalah satu sistem geostruktur lestari yang direka untuk penyejukan bangunan dengan menyimpan haba yang berlebihan dari bangunan itu ke dalam tanah yang bertindak sebagai sinki haba. Beban haba yang disimpan di dalam tanah akan menyebabkan enapan terma, dan faktor ini perlu dipertimbangkan dalam proses reka bentuk geoteknik. Kajian ini bertujuan untuk menghasilkan sistem pengujian makmal cerucuk tenaga geoterma peringkat permulaan di dalam keadaan graviti tunggal, bagi menghasilkan lengkung beban-enapan yang diperolehi daripada ujikaji terma-paksi. Sifat model cerucuk tenaga telah dikaji dengan menggunakan model fizikal yang terdiri daripada bekas tanah (450 mm tinggi dan 270 mm diameter), model cerucuk (15 mm diameter dan 150 mm kedalaman terbenam), serta sistem kawalan beban paksi dan suhu yang dihasilkan masing-masing oleh silinder pneumatik dan kubang suhu. Beban paksi (100 N dan 200 N), beban terma (35°C dan 40°C) dan gabungan kedua-dua beban (beban terma-paksi) telah dikenakan kepada model cerucuk tersebut. Nilai beban paksi yang dipilih adalah berdasarkan nilai kapasiti muktamad model cerucuk di dalam tanah lembut (kira-kira 0.5 dan 0.25 q_u). Tanah kaolin yang digunakan untuk ujian model diklasifikasikan sebagai kelodak berkeplastikan pertengahan. Tanah model dipadatkan pada tahap 80% dan 90% ketumpatan kering maksimum dan diklasifikasikan sebagai mempunyai kekonsistenan lembut dan kukuh. Kelakuan model cerucuk dipantau menggunakan transducer anjakan linear boleh ubah, sel beban dan wayar termogandeng yang disambungkan kepada alat pencatat data bagi memantau enapan kepala cerucuk, beban paksi dan suhu cerucuk. Tindak balas cerucuk kepada beban terma-paksi adalah termo-elastik, yang bergantung kepada kekonsistenan tanah serta magnitud beban terma yang dikenakan kepada cerucuk. Beban terma menghasilkan nilai enapan yang kecil, di mana nilai enapan terma tertinggi adalah kira-kira 1 % daripada nilai diameter cerucuk (0.15 mm). Secara amnya, tanah kukuh akan menghasilkan enapan terma teraruh yang lebih rendah daripada tanah lembut disebabkan oleh tahap kekangan yang tinggi di kepala cerucuk. Bagi beban terma 35°C, enapan terma dan terma-paksi tidak melebihi enapan penghad yang ditetapkan sebagai 10% nilai diameter cerucuk (1.5 mm). Nilai enapan terma-paksi tertinggi adalah 1.66 mm bagi beban terma-paksi 40°C dan 200 N, (nilai faktor keselamatan (FOS) global adalah 1.9) di dalam tanah lembut, manakala enapan pada 100 N beban paksi (nilai FOS global adalah 3.8) memberi nilai sebanyak 1.59 mm. Selain itu, di dalam tanah kukuh, bagi beban terma-paksi 40°C dan 200 N (nilai FOS global sebanyak 2.3), nilai enapan terma-paksi adalah 1.54 mm. Untuk memastikan bahawa nilai enapan paksi-terma tidak melebihi enapan penghad, nilai FOS global yang disarankan bagi tanah lembut dan tanah kukuh masing-masing adalah lebih besar daripada 4.0 dan 2.5. Sebuah model berskala makmal bagi sistem cerucuk tenaga, khas untuk tanah jeleket di dalam keadaan graviti tunggal telah dihasilkan. Model ujikaji ini mampu menghasilkan lengkung beban-enapan bagi beban terma-paksi di dalam kekonsistenan tanah, beban paksi awal, serta beban terma yang berbeza.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TOPIC	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xv
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvi
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xvii
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background of Research	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	3
	1.3 Aim and Objectives of Research	4
	1.4 Scope of Work	5
	1.5 Significance of Research	6
	1.6 Thesis Outline	7
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	9
	2.1 Introduction	9
	2.2 Ground Source Heat Pump System	10
	2.2.1 Vertical Ground Source Heat Pump	11
	2.2.2 Shallow Geothermal Energy Piles	14

2.3	Drilled Shafts Foundation	16
2.4	Energy Pile Design Standards	18
2.5	Energy Pile System Models	18
2.5.1	Single Gravity Model Tests	19
2.5.2	Centrifuge Model Tests	21
2.5.3	Full-Scale Tests	22
2.5.4	Summary of Energy Pile Model Tests	28
2.6	Thermo-axial Response in Energy Pile System	32
3	METHODOLOGY	37
3.1	Introduction	37
3.2	Selection of Materials	40
3.3	Determination of Physical and Engineering Properties of Soil	41
3.3.1	Sieve Test	42
3.3.2	Hydrometer Test	42
3.3.3	Particle Size Analysis	43
3.3.4	Atterberg Limits Test	45
3.3.5	Specific Gravity	45
3.3.6	Thermal Needle Test	47
3.4	Determination of Mechanical Properties	48
3.4.1	Standard Proctor Compaction Test	48
3.4.2	Unconfined Compression Strength Test	50
3.4.3	Vane Shear Test	51
3.5	Physical Model of Energy Pile	53
4	PHYSICAL MODELLING	55
4.1	Introduction	55
4.2	Design of Experimental Setup	56
4.2.1	Soil Testing Chamber and Energy Pile Model Design	56
4.2.2	Fabrication of Loading Frame	58

4.3	Load Testing Assembly	59
4.3.1	Axial Load Control System	59
4.3.2	Thermal Load Control System	61
4.4	Data Measurement Methods	62
4.4.1	Linear Variable Displacement Transducer	62
4.4.2	Thermocouple Strain Gauge	63
4.4.3	Thermocouple Wire	65
4.4.4	Thermocouple ProbeType K	65
4.4.5	Load Cell	66
4.4.6	Data Acquisition System	67
4.5	Energy Pile Model Testing	68
4.5.1	Testing Programme	68
4.5.2	Model Soil Preparation	69
4.5.3	Model Pile Installation	69
4.5.4	Energy Pile Model Testing Procedure	71
5	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	73
5.1	Introduction	73
5.2	Physical Properties Of Soil	74
5.2.1	Particle Size Distribution	74
5.2.2	Atterberg Limits	75
5.2.3	Specific Gravity	76
5.2.4	Thermal Conductivity of Soil	77
5.2.5	Summary of Soil Physical Properties	77
5.3	Engineering Properties of Soil	78
5.3.1	Standard Proctor Compaction Test	78
5.3.2	Unconfined Compression Strength Test	79
5.3.3	Vane Shear Test	81
5.3.4	Soil Consistency	82
5.4	Model Energy Pile Test	83
5.4.1	Bearing Capacity Test	83

	5.4.2	Thermal Load Test	85
	5.4.3	Thermo-Axial Load Test	95
6		CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	109
	6.1	Conclusion	109
	6.2	Future Research Recommendations	110
		REFERENCES	112
		Appendices A-F	118-128

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Summary of tests to investigate the effect of thermal loads on the axial response of single piles	28
3.1	Typical specifications of S300 kaolin	40
3.2	Summary of soil characteristic tests	41
4.1	Energy pile testing programme	68
5.1	Comparison of value of physical indices of silt	78
5.2	Unconfined compression strength test results for S300 kaolin at 0.9 MDD	80
5.3	Unconfined compression strength test results for S300 kaolin at 0.8 MDD	80
5.4	Vane shear test results for model soil compacted at 0.9 MDD	81
5.5	Vane shear test results for model soil compacted at 0.8 MDD	82
5.6	Summary of results for the undrained shear strength of compacted kaolin	83
5.7	Factor of safety for chosen initial axial loads	85
5.8	Summary of results for time series of thermal load test	86
5.9	Thermal settlements with respect to the settlement at ultimate load	92
5.10	Thermo-axial settlements with respect to settlement at ultimate load	107

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Closed-loop ground-source heat pump system	10
2.2	Three types of closed loop ground-source heat pumps	11
2.3	Ground source heat exchanger system	12
2.4	A typical GSHP system used for heating purposes	13
2.5	Heat exchanger tubes integrated into a bored pile	14
2.6	The scheme of an energy pile system	15
2.7	Load-settlement curve for thermo-axial tests on model piles in dry Fontainebleau sand	20
2.8	Comparison of load-settlement (t-z) curves for foundations under cycles of heating, cooling and baseline test	21
2.9	Soil profile and instrumentation of the EPFL pile	23
2.10	Thermo-mechanical loading for different stages of construction	24
2.11	Soil profile and pile geometry of the Lambeth College energy pile	26
2.12	Observed and free thermal strain profiles due to thermal loads: (a) Lambeth College energy pile, and (b) EPFL energy pile	27
2.13	Stress and strain response in thermo-active foundations during heating (a) Schematic of stress/strain changes during heating; (b) Measurements of strains during heating	33
3.1	Flow chart of research methodology	39
3.2	Hydrometer Test	43
3.3	Particle size analysis device, CILAS 1180	44
3.4	Pycnometer bottles used in specific gravity test	46

3.5	Schematic diagram of the thermal needle test apparatus	48
3.6	Standard Proctor Compaction test equipment	49
3.7	Unconfined compression strength (UCS) test machine	51
3.8	Location of vane shear test (Plan view)	52
3.9	Vane shear test apparatus	52
3.10	Schematic of physical model of geothermal energy pile system	53
3.11	Physical model of geothermal energy pile system	54
4.1	Soil and energy pile dimensions: (a) Prototype and (b) Model	57
4.2	Soil testing chamber	58
4.3	Loading frame	59
4.4	Axial load control system	60
4.5	Temperature bath	61
4.6	Thermostat used for thermal load control	62
4.7	Linear Variable Displacement Transducer for displacement measurement	63
4.8	Schematics of instrumented energy pile model	64
4.9	Instrumented energy pile model with pile cap	64
4.10	TSK Circular-type load cell	66
4.11	Portable data logger (UCAM-70A)	67
4.12	Soft soil preparation and model pile installation	70
4.13	Model pile behaviour monitoring equipment setup	72
5.1	Particle size distribution curve of S300 kaolin	74
5.2	Liquid limit value obtained via cone penetration test	75
5.3	Classification of S300 in the USCS plasticity chart	76
5.4	Specific gravity value of S300 kaolin obtained via CILAS 1180 machine	77
5.5	Standard Proctor compaction curve for S300 kaolin	79
5.6	Bearing capacity of model pile for firm soil and soft soil	84
5.7	Time series of temperature change due to heating for soft soil	86
5.8	Time series of temperature change due to heating for firm soil	87

5.9	Time series of temperature change due to heating for both types of soil and thermal loads	88
5.10	Pile head settlement due to thermal load at 35°C and 40 °C for soft soil	90
5.11	Pile head settlement due to thermal load at 35 °C and 40°C for firm soil	91
5.12	Thermal settlement values for tests conducted in firm and soft soil	92
5.13	Pile head settlement due to thermal load at 35 °C for firm and soft soil	93
5.14	Pile head settlement due to thermal load at 40 °C for firm and soft soil	93
5.15	Thermo-axial load test at 35°C thermal load for soft soil	96
5.16	Thermo-axial load test at 40°C thermal load for soft soil	97
5.17	Thermo-axial load test at 100 N axial load for soft soil	98
5.18	Thermo-axial load test at 200 N axial load for soft soil	99
5.19	Thermo-axial load test at 100 N and 200 N axial load for soft soil	99
5.20	Thermo-axial settlement in soft soil with respect to ultimate load settlement	100
5.21	Thermo-axial load test at 35°C thermal load for firm soil	101
5.22	Thermo-axial load test at 40°C thermal load for firm soil	102
5.23	Thermo-axial load test at 100 N axial load for firm soil	103
5.24	Thermo-axial load test at 200 N axial load for firm soil	103
5.25	Thermo-axial load test at 100 N and 200 N axial load for firm soil	104
5.26	Thermo-axial settlements in firm soil with respect to settlement at ultimate load	105
5.27	Thermo-axial settlements in firm and soft soil	107
5.28	Thermo-axial settlements with respect to settlement at ultimate load	108

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Atterberg Limit Test	118
B	Specific Gravity Test	119
C	Particle Size Distribution	120
D	Standard Proctor Compaction Test	121
E	Thermal Loads Settlement	122
F	Thermo-axial Loads Settlement	126

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ASHP	-	Air-Source Heat Pump
ASTM	-	American Society for Testing and Materials
BS	-	British Standard
BSCS	-	British Soil Classification System
GSHP	-	Ground Source Heat Pump
HVAC	-	Heating, Ventilation and Air-Conditioning
IGSHPA	-	International Ground Source Heat Pump Association
LL	-	Liquid Limit
PI	-	Plasticity Index
PL	-	Plastic Limit
MDD	-	Maximum Dry Density
OMC	-	Optimum Moisture Content
SGEP	-	Shallow Geothermal Energy Pile
UCT	-	Unconfined Compression Test
USCS	-	Unified Soil Classification Soil
VDI	-	Verein Deutscher Ingenieure

LIST OF SYMBOLS

ρ_{dmax}	=	Maximum dry density of soil
f_s	=	Ultimate skin friction
α	=	Adhesion factor of cohesive soil
β	=	Drained interface strength parameter
δ'	=	Soil interface friction angle
I_P	=	Plasticity Index
σ'_{v0}	=	In-situ vertical effective stress (effective overburden pressure)
τ_{int}	=	Soil interface shear strength
s_f	=	Settlement at ultimate load
S_u	=	Undrained shear strength
G_s	=	Specific Gravity
w	=	Moisture content
w_L	=	Moisture content at liquid limit
w_P	=	Moisture content at plastic limit

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Research

Malaysia is a tropical country located near the Equator with an average temperature that varies from 20 to 32°C. Since the hot and humid weather is experienced throughout the year, air conditioning systems are used to combat the effect of heat, where it accounts for 16 to 50 percent of the total electricity consumption (Saidur *et al.*, 2007). Typically, office buildings consume about 21% of a country's total commercial energy use (Chirarattananon and Taweekun, 2003). Based on this assumption, it is estimated that total energy used by Malaysian office buildings is about 6090 GWh in year 2007 (Saidur, 2009). Furthermore, in a comprehensive study conducted by Saidur (2009), it was found that air-conditioning systems comprise of one of the main electricity consumers in most of the buildings, consuming around 57% of the electricity in office buildings.

In the near future, it is expected that there will be higher energy usage demands in buildings due to various factors, e.g. a requirement of improved comfort levels, and more time spent inside buildings. Therefore, energy efficiency in buildings is a prime objective for energy policy at regional, national, and international levels (Lombard *et al.*, 2008). As required in the green building technology initiative nowadays, existing structures can be modified into energy efficient infrastructures by modifying the existing structural elements within a building. A good example of such modification

is the shallow geothermal energy pile (SGEP) technology, a newly explored type of sustainable geostructure.

The energy pile system is designed to achieve energy efficient space heating and cooling for residential and commercial buildings of various sizes; while satisfying load bearing requirements of the underlying foundation (Gao *et al.*, 2008). It uses the embedded structural elements e.g. piles in soil as a medium to transfer thermal energy between the building and the soil directly underneath it. Heat exchanger piles transport the ground thermal energy to buildings via heat exchanger fluids that circulate in heat exchanger pipes embedded in the piles (Brandl, 2009).

Nowadays, geothermal energy pile systems have been implemented throughout the world, for example in countries such as Austria, Switzerland, United Kingdom, Japan, China and Hong Kong. However, the engineering community has addressed concerns regarding the effect of thermal loads on the performance of energy piles during its operation. Therefore, there is a need to gain a better understanding of the effect of these thermal loads on the energy pile to justify its use on a large scale, particularly in Malaysia. Recently, the behaviour of the geothermal energy piles under the coupled axial and thermal loads (otherwise known as thermo-mechanical load) has been the subject of research of European and Asian researchers alike. For instance, full-scale in-situ tests on energy pile systems were carried out by Laloui *et al.* (2006). in Switzerland and by Bourne-Webb *et al.* (2009) in United Kingdom.

On the other hand, numerical models of energy pile systems; specifically on soil-structure interaction was developed by Knellwolf *et al.* (2011) and by Ouyang *et al.* (2011) to gain a better understanding of the geothermal energy pile behaviour under operational conditions. More recently, Shin *et al.* (2014) also conducted a numerical study on ground heat exchange system, which pertains to the energy pile research. Regardless, further work is needed to understand the mechanism for soil-pile

interaction behaviour at the soil-pile interface, in response to temperature changes (Ouyang *et al.*, 2011; Suryatriyastuti *et al.*, 2012).

Even though geothermal energy pile systems have gained recognition and acceptance in other countries, the technology has not been widely implemented in other countries, including Malaysia. Very little is known about the performance of the geothermal energy pile system in tropical countries, e.g. Malaysia, which will mostly require the system to perform under cooling conditions. Nonetheless, cooling dominated borehole heat exchanger systems are found in Hong Kong (Man *et al.*, 2011) and in Greece (Sagia *et al.*, 2012). However, these researchers mainly focus on the cooling and heating performances of the buildings, with very little emphasis on the performance of the geothermal energy piles under thermo-mechanical loading.

In addition, there are two main concerns with regards to the implementation of geothermal energy pile system. First is the uncertainty about the thermal effects to the soil-structure interaction due to cyclic thermal loads which may affect the long-term structural integrity and durability of the structural foundation (Rosenberg, 2010). Secondly, there is currently no standard design method that considers the complex interactions between thermal storage and the mechanical behaviour of these geostructures (Knellwolf *et al.*, 2011). Therefore, for years, the dimensioning of heat exchanger piles has been based on empirical considerations (Boënnec, 2008). Consequently, the safety factors that are usually employed for conventional pile design are considerably increased.

1.2 Problem Statement

As previously stated, significant studies had been carried out through full-scale experiment tests to examine the effects of both thermal and mechanical loading of

energy pile systems. However, the full-scale experimentations are usually expensive and time consuming, and there is a need to develop new techniques to analyse and gain a better understanding of the thermal effects on the soil-structure interaction in the energy pile systems.

The geothermal energy foundation system is an element of “sustainable structure” that has been developed in recent years; hence the published data on long-term performance are scarce. Therefore, experimental investigations on geothermal energy piles are able to provide significant information with regards to their long-term performance. There are only four known laboratory experimental studies conducted to observe the behaviour of these energy piles; where two studies on single gravity energy piles embedded in sand, and two centrifuge study on energy piles in silt have been carried out to date. Accordingly, additional testing and new analyses are required to better understand the mechanisms of thermal soil-structure interaction in energy piles.

1.3 Aim and Objectives of Research

The aim of this research is to establish a preliminary geothermal energy pile testing facility, to produce the load-settlement curves generated by thermo-axial load tests. Since full-scale field testing is considered as an expensive research endeavour, a laboratory physical modelling test with instrumentation approach is taken to achieve this aim. A series of laboratory scale pile loading model tests were conducted in a single-gravity energy pile model testing facility. These pile load tests were conducted under firm and soft soil conditions with a combination of different axial loads, thermal loads and thermo-axial loads. In order to achieve the aim of this research, the following objectives have been identified:

- i. To develop a reliable testing approach to characterize the load-settlement behaviour of laboratory scale model energy pile foundations in single gravity conditions.
- ii. To evaluate the thermal settlement due to different thermal loads of model pile embedded in soil with different strength values.
- iii. To determine the impact of different thermo-axial loads on the bearing capacity of the model pile embedded in soil with different strength values.

1.4 Scope of Work

In order to achieve the objectives of research, the following scope has been determined. First and foremost, this research focuses on the closed-loop ground-source heat pump (GSHP) system. In particular, this study focuses on the model foundation and soil behaviour under varying thermo-axial loads. The materials used in this research includes S300 kaolin (representing the model soil), and stainless steel (close-ended steel tube representing the model energy foundation). Furthermore, the experimental investigations were carried out in the UTM Geotechnical Laboratory.

The material classification was carried out via several tests, using standard methods such as the British Standard and the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). Specifically, the clay material properties are determined via the particle size distribution test, Atterberg Limits test, and specific gravity test for soil classification purposes. Furthermore, soil strength was also carried out, namely the unconfined compression strength test and shear vane test. Two types of reconstituted soft kaolin soil were prepared through compaction to achieve the undrained shear strength of about 25 kPa and 37 kPa.

Then, the small-scale single gravity physical model foundation design was carried out and it consisted of two parts: the soil preparation and model test setup design. For the model soil preparation, both the soil container and loading frame were designed to facilitate the soil sample preparation process. Subsequently, the model test design was carried out where the design of load testing assembly and instrumentation takes place. Next, the setup of the experiment and the pilot tests are carried out. Once the pilot tests are able to confirm the repeatability of the experiment, the main physical modeling test stage commences thereafter.

1.5 Significance of Research

The constantly increasing energy demand, especially for the use of HVAC systems in buildings requires local researchers to look for sustainable energy sources, and a possible answer lies in the use of the geothermal energy pile system. Furthermore, testing the geothermal energy pile system in Malaysia adds another dimension to the energy pile system evaluation process, as this technology is inherently site specific. Different weather, soil profiles and building cooling requirements makes this a unique problem and is therefore essential to the contribution of knowledge to this newly developed field of sustainable technology. Also, this could lead to a better understanding of the limits of extractable energy in a given geothermal energy pile system (Fragaszy *et al.*, 2011). Ultimately, the findings of this research is hoped to justify the funding and subsequent mobilization of a full-scale geothermal energy pile test, first of its kind to be conducted in tropical conditions.

This research is expected to produce a reliable single gravity model test approach of the geothermal energy pile that is expected to retain a degree of similitude with the complex soil-structure interaction during its operational mode. Therefore, to quantify the effect of thermal load on the energy piles, the development of a model energy pile is proposed to study the phenomenon in a controlled environment. This

improved understanding can help the development of design methods and identification of suitable factors of safety for energy piles systems. In a broader scope, these results will be useful for GEP system designers to ensure optimal design and operation of energy pile systems.

1.6 Thesis Outline

This thesis comprised of six chapters. As seen in this first chapter, an introduction to shallow geothermal energy foundations was made to expound on the motivation of the study. This is explained by discussing the research problem in terms of the following research philosophies, namely the ‘problem statement’, ‘objectives of study’, ‘scope of study’, and ‘significance of study’.

Chapter 2 of this thesis presents an in-depth review of ground source heat pumps and shallow geothermal energy pile foundations. The review includes an introduction to the components of a ground source heat pump system, and its installation process. Furthermore, the load-transfer analysis of pile foundations is discussed, followed by the discussion of physical modeling of energy pile systems that were conducted in recent years. Based on the current state of knowledge on shallow geothermal energy foundations, the research gap was determined in order to establish the framework of the current research endeavour.

Meanwhile, Chapter 3 presents the research methodology of the study by describing the laboratory work carried out to achieve the aim and objectives set in the first chapter. In particular, the laboratory experiments that were carried out to determine the soil’s physical, thermal and engineering properties based on the British Standard were addressed in detail. Chapter 4 focuses on the laboratory model of the energy pile system and the procedures adopted to carry out the physical modeling. The

elements of the physical model were presented via a detailed explanation that included the design of the testing chamber, the axial load and thermal load control system, data measurement and the instrumentation plan adopted for this study.

In Chapter 5, the results of the laboratory testing and physical model tests are presented and discussed in a comprehensive manner. Results from the soil classification and soil engineering properties tests are also presented. The discussion includes several sub-topics such as bearing capacity, pile head settlement, effects of thermal loads and effects of thermo-axial loads. Chapter 6 lists the main conclusions obtained from this study to underscore the contribution of the work done to the existing body of knowledge. In addition, the recommendations for future research work were also specified and discussed.

REFERENCES

- Abdulhussein Saeed, K., Anuar Kassim, K., & Nur, H. (2014). Physicochemical characterization of cement treated kaolin clay. *Gradevinar* 66(06.), 513-521.
- Abuel-Naga H.M., Bergado, D.T., Bouazza A., Pender, M.J. (2009). Thermal conductivity of soft Bangkok clay from laboratory and field measurements. *Engineering Geology* 105 (3), 211-219
- Amatya, B.L., Soga, K., Bourne-Webb, P.J., Amis, T., Laloui, L. (2012). Thermo-mechanical Behaviour of Energy Piles. *Géotechnique* 62(6), 503 –519 .
- Boënnec, O. (2008). Shallow Ground Energy Systems. *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers. Energy* 161 (EN2), 57-61
- Bourne-Webb P.J., Amatya B., Soga K., Amis T., Davidson C., and Payne P. (2009). Energy Pile Test at Lambeth College, London: Geotechnical and Thermodynamic Aspects of Pile Response to Heat Cycles. *Géotechnique* 59(3), 237 –248 .
- Brandl H. (2006). Energy Foundations and other Thermo-Active Ground Structures. *Géotechnique* 56(2), 81-122.
- Brandl H. (2009), *Deep Foundations on Bored and Auger Piles* - Van Impe and Van Impe, Taylor & Francis Group, London, ISBN 978-0-415-47556-3, pg. 77 – 95

- Brandl, H. (1998). Energy piles and diaphragm walls for heat transfer from and into the ground. Proc. 3rd Int. Symp. on Deep Foundations on Bored and Auger Piles, BAP III, Ghent, pg. 37–60.
- Brandon, T.L., and Mitchell, J.K. (1989) Factors influencing thermal resistivity of sands. *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, ASCE 115(12):1683-1698.
- Chirarattananon S. and Taweekun J. (2003). A technical review of energy conservation programs for commercial and government buildings in Thailand. *Energy Conversion and Management* 44, 743–762.
- Choi J.C., Lee S.R., Lee D.S. (2011). Numerical simulation of vertical ground heat exchangers operation in unsaturated soil conditions. *Computers and Geotechnics* 38, 949-958
- Das, B.M. (2007) *Fundamentals of Geotechnical Engineering*, 3rd Edition. Cengage Learning, United States of America
- Das, B.M., Sobhan, K. (2013) *Principles of Geotechnical Engineering, SI Version*, 8th Edition. Cengage Learning, United States of America
- de Moel M., Bach P.M., Bouazza A., Singh R.M., Sun J.L.O. (2010). Technological advances and applications of geothermal energy pile foundations and their feasibility in Australia. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 14, 2683-2696
- Effendi, R. (2007). *Modelling of the settlement interaction of neighbouring buildings on soft ground*. PhD Thesis, University of Sheffield.

- Ennigkeit, A. and Katzenbach, R. (2001). The double use of piles as foundation and heat exchanging elements. *Proc. 15th Int. Conf. Soil Mech. Geotech. Engng*, Istanbul, 893–896.
- Gao J., Zhang X., Liu J., Li K., Yang J. (2008). Numerical and experimental assessment of thermal performance of vertical energy piles: An application. *Applied Energy* 85, 901-910.
- Hassan, M. (2013) *Strength and Compressibility of Soft Soils Reinforced with Bottom Ash columns*, PhD Thesis, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia. (Unpublished)
- Head, K.H. (1992) "Manual of Soil Laboratory Testing volume 1" Pentech Press, London, pp 761-765.
- Huat B.K., Haji Ali F., Omar H., Singh H. (2006) *Foundation Engineering – Design and Construction in Tropical Soils*, Taylor & Francis Group, London, pg. 117 – 128.
- Kalantidou A., Tang A.M., Pereira J.-M., Hassen G. (2012). Preliminary Study on the Mechanical Behaviour of Heat Exchanger Pile in Physical Model (Technical Note). *Géotechnique* 62(11), 1047 –1051 .
- Khatib, A. (2009) *Bearing Capacity of Granular Soil Overlying Soft Clay Reinforced with Bamboo-Geotextile Composite at the Interface*. Ph.D Thesis, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia. (Unpublished)
- Knappett, J.A. and Craig, R.F. (2012). *Craig's Soil Mechanics*, 8th Edition. Taylor & Francis, United Kingdom.

- Knellwolf C., Peron H., Laloui H. (2011). Geotechnical analysis of heat exchanger piles. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering* 137 (10), 890-902.
- Kulhawy, F.H. and Jackson, C.S. 1989. *Some observations on undrained side resistance of drilled shafts*. Foundation Engineering: Current Principles and Practises. ASCE. 1011–1025.
- Laloui, L., Nuth M, Vulliet L. (2006) Experimental and numerical investigations of the behaviour of a heat exchanger pile. *International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics* 30 (8), 763–81.
- Laloui, L., Di Donna, A. (2013) *Energy Geostructures: Innovation in Underground Engineering*, ISTE-Wiley Publishing, United Kingdom.
- Latifi, N. (2014) *Geotechnical and Micro-structural Behaviour of Chemically Stabilized Tropical Residual Soil*. Ph.D Thesis, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia. (Unpublished)
- Le Tirant, P., and Meunier, J. (1990). *Anchoring of Floating Structures (Design Guides for Offshore Structures)*, Editions Technip.
- Lombard L.P., Jose O., Christine P. (2008). A review on buildings energy consumption information. *Energy and Building* 40 (3), 394–398.
- Man Y., Yang H., Spitler J.D., Fang Z. (2011) Feasibility study on novel hybrid ground coupled heat pump system with nocturnal cooling radiator for cooling load dominated buildings. *Applied Energy* 88, 4160-4171.
- Marto, A. (1996) Critical state of Keuper marl silt. *Jurnal Kejuruteraan Awam*. 9 (2). 34-58.

- Marto, A. (1999) Pore pressure response of undrained two-way cyclic loading of silt. *Jurnal Kejuruteraan Awam*, 11 (1), 35-62.
- Mitchell, J.K., and Soga, K. (2005) *Fundamentals of Soil Behavior*, 3rd Edition, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., United Kingdom.
- Ouyang Y., Soga K., Leung Y.F. (2011) Numerical Back-analysis of Energy Pile Test at Lambeth College, London. *Geo-Frontiers 2011*, 440-449.
- Preene M, Powrie W. (2009). Ground energy systems: from analysis to geotechnical design. *Géotechnique* 59(3), 261–71.
- Fragaszy R.J., Santamarina J.C., A. Amekudzi, D. Assimaki, R. Bachus, S. E. Burns, M. Cha, G. C. Cho, D. D. Cortes, S. Dai, D. N. Espinoza, L. Garrow, H. Huang, J. Jang, J. W. Jung, S. Kim, K. Kurtis, C. Lee, C. Pasten, H. Phadnis, G. Rix, H. S. Shin, M. C. Torres, and C. Tsouris. (2011). *Sustainable Development and Energy Geotechnology- Potential Roles for Geotechnical Engineering*. KSCE *Journal of Civil Engineering*.
- Rosenberg J.E. (2010). *Centrifuge Modeling of Soil-Structure Interaction in Thermo-Active Foundations*. M S. Thesis, University of Colorado, Boulder.
- Sagia Z., Rakopoulos, C., Kakaras E. (2012) Cooling dominate Hybrid Ground Source Heat Pump System application. *Applied Energy* 94, 41-47
- Saidur R. (2009). Energy consumption, energy savings, and emission analysis in Malaysian office buildings. *Energy Policy* 37, 4104-13.
- Saidur R., Masjuki H.H., Jamaluddin M.Y. (2007). An application of energy and exergy analysis in residential sector of Malaysia. *Energy Policy* 35, 1050-63.

- Sanner B., Karytsas C., Mendrinis D., Rybach L. (2003). Current status of ground source heat pumps and underground thermal energy storage in Europe. *Geothermics* 32, 579-588.
- Shin, H., Jeon, J., Lee, S. (2014). Numerical Study on Ground Heat Exchange System. *Advances in Civil, Environmental, and Materials Research (ACEM 14)*. Busan, South Korea.
- Stewart, M.A. and McCartney, J.S. (2012). Strain Distribution in Centrifuge Model Energy Foundations. GeoCongress 2012. Oakland, CA. pg. 4385-4376.
- Stewart, M.A. (2012) *Centrifuge Modeling of Strain Distributions in Energy Foundations*. M.S. Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder
- Suryatriyastuti M.E., Mroueh H., Burlon S. (2012) Understanding the temperature-induced mechanical behaviour of energy pile foundations. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 16, 3344-3354.
- Wang, B., Bouazza, A., Barry-Macaulay, D., Singh, M.R., Webster, M., Haberfield, C., Chapman, G. (2012) Field and Laboratory Investigation of Heat Exchanger Pile. GeoCongress 2012. Oakland, CA. pg. 4396-4405.
- Wang, B., Bouazza, A., Haberfield, C. (2011). Preliminary Observations from Laboratory Scale Model Geothermal Pile Subjected to Thermo-Mechanical Loading. Geo-Frontiers 2011. Dallas, TX. pg. 430-439.